Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/545,707	DECKMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christina Johnson	1725	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		-
(1) <u>Christina Johnson</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Gerard Hughes</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 December 2005	Time:		.
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)		·
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:	·		
Claims discussed: 28 Prior art documents discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed.			
Part II.	·		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	he examiner will provide a wri	tten summary of t e interview, since	he sübstance
·	•		•
		<u> </u>	 ;
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant'Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The status identifier of claim 28 was corrected, placing the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed to the change, to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon change can be found in the attached examiner's amendment.